arXiv:1406.5497v2 [physics.chem-ph] 5 Nov 2015

CRITICAL CHARGE OF A SYSTEM WITH ONE ELECTRON AND FIVE OR SIX CHARGED CENTERS

Héctor Medel Cobaxin*†[1,2]

[1]Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 70-543, 04510 México, D.F., Mexico

[2]Departamento de Investigación, Instituto Tecnológico Superior de San Andrés Tuxtla, Carretera Costera del Golfo km 140+100, 95804 San Andrés Tuxtla, Mexico

Abstract

We consider a Coulomb system of one electron and five or six infinitely massive centers of charge Z: $(5Z, e)$ and $(6Z, e)$. Critical charges and the possible optimal geometrical configurations are found. It is shown that the domain of stability for $(5Z, e)$ is $0 < Z \leq Z_{cr}^{(5Z,e)} = 0.350$ with the optimal geometrical configuration given by a dipyramid (equilateral triangle base) circumscribed in a prolate spheroid. For $(6Z, e)$ the stability is $0 < Z \leq Z_{cr}^{(6Z,e)} = 0.335$ with the optimal geometrical configuration given by an octahedron (square base), circumscribed in an oblate spheroid. For both systems we obtain an indication that total energy at $Z = Z_{cr}$ has a square-root branch point singularity with exponent 3/2.

*medel@nucleares.unam.mx
†hectormedel@itssat.edu.mx

DRAFT

1 Introduction

In recent years it has been discovered that bound states for one-electron systems with three/four protons exist in Nature in presence of strong magnetic fields [1]. In particular, for the molecular ion H_3^{++} it has been shown that the geometrical configuration changes depending on the field strength, being an equilateral triangle for 10^8 G$< B < 10^{11}$ G and linear for 10^{10} G $< B < 4.414 \times 10^{13}$ G. Systems with more than three protons also exist in presence of such strong fields, but the only linear configurations parallel to the field seems to be optimal. Other other geometrical configuration might exist in such circumstances but have not been studied, so far. In a very naive way of thinking a clue to find other configurations is to assume the proton to have a non-integer charge. Although the physics behind this two phenomena are totally different, it is possible that for a given field strength there will be a preferred direction for which a geometrical configuration, different from linear, might be realized. For example, in Ref. [2] it is presented the case of one-electron systems with charged centers (nZ, e), $n = 2, 3, 4$, turned out that there are bound states for positive charges less that a certain critical one, $Z \leq Z_{cr}$, with non-linear optimal geometrical configuration.

A restriction to integer values of charges do not appear in classical electrodynamics and not even in more advanced theories such as the theory of atomic-molecular physics, it is only in elementary particle physics [3,4] where a justification for the existence of integer charge appears. In classical electrostatics where stable configurations of point charges are known to be absent (Earnshaw's theorem), zero charge is a singular point where the nature of interaction changes from repulsion to attraction. Usually, at a singular charge the whole or some part of the potential vanishes. This behavior is not exclusive of classical physics, it also can be found in non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics where these singular charges exist as well. However, a new phenomenon occurs - there are some critical charges which separate the domain of the existence of the bound states from the domain of non-existence, although the nature of the potential remains unchanged. In some cases a system gets bound at a critical charge with polynomialy-decaying eigenfunctions at large distances, unlike standard exponentially-decaying eigenfunctions [5]. The well-known examples where such a transition, of existence to non-existence, occurs are the square-well of finite depth, the Pöschl-Teller potential and the Yukawa potential. About Coulomb molecular systems, there are some works related to molecular ion H_2^+ with non-integer charge [6, 7].

DRAFT

For more than two charged centers and one electron, the first study was presented in [2] for $n = 2, 3, 4$: $(2Z, e)$, $(3Z, e)$ and $(4Z, e)$, where critical charges were calculated. The optimal geometric configurations were found to correspond to Platonic solids; a line for $(2Z, e)$, an equilateral triangle for $(3Z, e)$ and a regular tetrahedron for $(4Z, e)$. For all studied systems, both atomic and molecular, the total energy has a square-root branch point with exponent $3/2$ at $Z = Z_{cr}$.

This work can be considered as a continuation of work [2] addressing five and six infinitely massive centers of the same charge Z and one electron. One of the goals is to find the possible optimal geometrical configuration for which the system is realized. Another goal is to identify the domain(s) in Z where the system is bound, focusing on the critical charges Z_{cr} which separate the domains of existence/non-existence of bound state.

This study is performed in the framework of non-relativistic quantum mechanics. Atomic units ($\hbar = e = m_e = 1$) are used throughout, but energies are given in Rydbergs.

2 General considerations

Let us consider a Coulomb molecular system which consists of n fixed charges Z and one electron, (nZ, e). The Hamiltonian which describes this system as follows

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \sum_{i<j} \frac{Z^2}{R_{ij}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{Z}{r_i} , \tag{1}$$

where R_{ij} is the distance between charge centers i and j, and r_i is the distance from the electron to ith charge center.

From a physical point of view, it is clear that such a system is not bound, both for large positive and for negative Z values. However, it has to be bound at small $Z > 0$. It is clear that there must be a critical charge which separates the domains of existence and non-existence of a bound state; one such a critical charge is $Z = 0$, the other one is at some finite Z, $Z = Z_{cr}$, which does not represent any type of singularity of operator (1). It is worth noting that it is possible to describe the same problem by considering that the charged centers have positive charge equal to the unity and the electron has negative charge greater than one.

In order to calculate the total energy $E(Z)$ we use the variational method. We employ a physics-inspired trial functions. The choice of the trial function

DRAFT

is based on arguments of physical relevance, i.e. the trial function should support the symmetries of the system, has to reproduce the Coulomb singularities and the asymptotic behavior at large distances adequately (for more details see [1, 8–10]).

3 System $(5Z, e)$

Let us consider five fixed charges Z and one electron. There is an infinite number of configurations for this system, but only one that is optimal. It is impossible to study all configurations but it is a good guess to consider the most symmetric ones. Three particular configurations were studied: pentagon, square pyramid and triangular dipyramid. For the pentagon configuration, protons were located on the the edges of the figure, defining the $x-y$ plane with origin in the centroid, and L the distance between two adjacent protons. In the square pyramid configuration four protons were placed on the corners of a square of side L, defining the $x-y$ plane with its sides parallel to the axes; the fifth proton is placed on the $z-$axis perpendicular to the square. Finally the triangular dipyramid configuration is given by three centers forming an equilateral triangle of side d and two others which are symmetrically placed perpendicular to the triangle coming through its center with distance $2h$ between them.

The variational method is used to obtain all numerical results. The trial function is taken in a form of a symmetrized product of five $1s$-Coulomb orbitals (Slater functions)

$$\psi_g^{(5Z,e)} = \hat{S} e^{-\alpha_1 r_1 - \alpha_2 r_2 - \alpha_3 r_3 - \alpha_4 r_4 - \alpha_5 r_5} \ , \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{S}$ is the symmetrizer with respect a permutation of the charged centers. After doing permutations the trial function contains 120 terms. The function (2) depends on five α_i variational parameters. It is expected that this type of function gives results with an accuracy of $\sim 10^{-3}$ in the total energy.

In order to find these critical charges, curves of the total energy as function of the charge can be obtained for the three different configurations, see Fig 1. Calculations show that critical charges are $Z_{cr}^{pentagon} = 0.532$, $Z_{cr}^{SqPyramid} = 0.380$ and $Z_{cr}^{Dipyramid} = 0.350$ for pentagon, square pyramid and triangle dipyramid, respectively. The curves also show that the most stable geometrical configuration is given by the triangular dipyramid, see Fig. 2.

DRAFT



Figure 1: The total energy of systems $(5Z, e)$ as a function of the charge Z for three different configuration: Pentagon (solid line), square pyramid (long-dashed line) and triangular dipyramid (dashed line). The solid line ends at $Z_{Pentagon} = Z_{cr}^{(5Z,e)} = 0.532$, the long-dashed curve ends at $Z_{SqPyramid} = Z_{cr}^{(5Z,e)} = 0.380$, and the dashed curve ends at $Z_{Dipyramid} = Z_{cr}^{(5Z,e)} = 0.350$



Figure 2: A system $(5Z, e)$: charges are located at vertices of the geometric figure. The electron is at point **e**.

For the optimal geometrical configuration, the triangular dipyramid, it is possible to find the domains of metastability; i.e. points where systems begin to have decay channels. These domains are given by crossing points between one-electron and n Z-charged systems, (nZ, e). Fig. 8 shows curves of the total energy as function of the charge, $E(Z)$, for systems (Z, e) (as reference curve), $(3Z, e)$, $(4Z, e)$ (see [2]), $(5Z, e)$ and $(6Z, e)$, all taken in the optimal configuration. Thus, for charges $Z \in (0.319, 0.350)$ the system is metastable with two decay channels

$$\begin{aligned} (5Z, e) &\rightarrow (3Z, e) + Z + Z \\ (5Z, e) &\rightarrow (4Z, e) + Z \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

DRAFT

For $Z \in (0.24, 0.319)$ the system is metastable with one decay channel

$$(5Z, e) \to (4Z, e) + Z \tag{4}$$

And finally for $Z < 0.240$ the system is stable.

Beyond determining the critical charge, it is important to understand the behavior of the energy, as function of the charge, close to critically. In this way it turns out that this behavior is described by means of a Puiseux expansion

$$E(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (Z_{cr} - Z)^{b_n} \ , \tag{5}$$

with the condition that $b_n < b_{n+1}$. The goal is to find parameters a_n and b_n of this expansion. Restricting the expansion (5) to a finite number of terms a fit of the total energy calculated numerically. By making the fit it is found, in particular, that exponents b_n are very close to $m/2$ ($m = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$); then, it is convenient to assume $b_n = m/2$ and only determine the coefficients a_n. The fit is based on data in the domain $0.30 \leq Z \leq 0.345$ (10 points). This behavior indicates that critical point might be a square-root branch point.

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z) &= -0.3339 + 0.1636(Z_{cr} - Z) \\ &- 2.1606(Z_{cr} - Z)^{3/2} + 15.1739(Z_{cr} - Z)^2 \\ &- 39.5356(Z_{cr} - Z)^{5/2} + \ldots \ , \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where the critical point is

$$Z_{cr}^{(5Z,e)} \ = \ 0.350 \ . \tag{7}$$

For the critical point $Z = 0$ (which is the singular point of the Schrödinger equation), we study the behavior of the energy as function of the charge. The behavior on the energy ($0 \leq Z \leq 0.15$ with 15 points) is given by a Taylor expansion:

$$E(Z) = -19.9541 Z^2 + 109.699 Z^3 - 207.039 Z^4 + \ldots \tag{8}$$

Such a behavior does not provide an indication of a singular nature at $Z = 0$. However, the total energy cannot be analytically continued to $\mathrm{Re} Z < 0$.

Table 1 shows a comparison between the results of the energy fit (6) and fit (8) near critical charges $Z = Z_{cr}$, $Z = 0$, correspondingly, and data.

DRAFT

Z	E_T	Fit
0.10	-0.1113723	-0.111545
0.11	-0.1271357	-0.127211
0.12	-0.1428512	-0.142783
0.13	-0.1583834	-0.158202
0.14	-0.1736134	-0.173462
0.15	-0.1884371	-0.188607
0.30	-0.3265247	-0.326525
0.31	-0.3291843	-0.329184
0.32	-0.3311328	-0.331133
0.33	-0.3324624	-0.332462
0.34	-0.3332823	-0.333282
0.35	-0.3339403	-0.333940

Table 1: Total energy of $(5Z, e)$, obtained with (2), compared with the result of the fit (6) (for $0.30 \leq Z \leq 0.345$) and (8) (for $0 \leq Z \leq 0.15$).

Finally, Fig. 3 shows that the optimal geometrical configuration is a triangular dipyramid with equilateral triangular base. Its height h is always greater than the radius of maximal circular section of spheroid (circumscribed circle for the base) R. This tells us that the charges are situated on a prolate spheroid with semi-axis R, see Fig.4. The form of the spheroid changes with a charge variation, always remaining prolate.



Figure 3: Equilibrium geometrical configuration: Height of charges h (solid line) and radius R of circumscribed circle for charges fixed on vertices of an equilateral triangle (dashed line), all as function of the charge Z.

DRAFT



Figure 4: Equilibrium geometrical configuration for the system $(5Z, e)$, $Z < Z_{cr}$. Charges are situated on surface of prolate spheroid of semi-axes R and h.

4 System $(6Z, e)$

Now let us consider six fixed charges Z and one electron. As in the five-center case, in this system there are infinitely many geometrical configurations. Among them there must exist one which is optimal. It is possible to consider some of them, the most symmetric ones. Four configurations are considered: 1) hexagon, the charges are placed on the vertices of the figure of side L lying on the $x-y$ plane with the center in the origin of the plane and two charges on the x-axis; 2) a pentagon pyramid, with five charges on the vertices of a pentagonal base of side L and one charge at height h on the perpendicular passing through the center; 3) an equilateral triangle dipyramid with three charges on the vertices of the triangle of side L, one charge in the center and other two on the perpendicular passing through the center of the triangle with distance $2h$ between them; and finally 4) a square dipyramid with four centers forming a square of side l, and two other lying on the perpendicular, passing through the center of the square, separated by $2h$ (octahedron).

The trial function for this case is taken in a form of a symmetrized product of six $1s$-Coulomb orbitals (Slater functions)

$$\psi_g^{(6Z,e)} = \hat{S} e^{-\alpha_1 r_1 - \alpha_2 r_2 - \alpha_3 r_3 - \alpha_4 r_4 - \alpha_5 r_5 - \alpha_6 r_6} \ , \tag{9}$$

where $\hat{S}$ is the symmetrizer with respect a permutation of charged centers. After performing permutations, the trial function (9) contains 720 terms, and it depends on six α variational parameters, given an accuracy of $\sim 10^{-3}$ for the total energy.

DRAFT

Because of the complexity of the calculations and the loss of accuracy for small values of the charge, an energy curve cannot be fully calculated. Instead the total energy is computed for some given values of the charge. Table 2 shows the total energy of the different configurations for some fixed values of the charge Z.

Z	Energy (Ry)			
	SD	TD	PP	Hexagon
0.300	-0.2855	-0.2821	-0.2539	-0.2373
0.335	-0.2749	–	-0.2348	-0.2125

Table 2: The total energy as a function of the charge Z for various configurations of the $(6Z, e)$ system: square dipyramid (SD), triangular dipyramid (TD), pentagon pyramid (PP) and hexagon. For $Z = 0.335$ the triangular dipyramid does not exist.

From Table 2 we see that among the configurations studied, the square dipyramid presents the lowest total energy, and we conjectured that this configuration is optimal for the $(6Z, e)$ system.

For the optimal configuration, see Fig. 5, the critical charges which separate the domains of existence and non-existence of the bound state are at $Z = 0$ and $Z_{cr} = 0.335$. Thus, the system $(6Z, e)$ can exist for charges $0 < Z < Z_{cr}$. Fig. 8 shows the total energy as a function of the charge at equilibrium distances l_{eq} and h_{eq}. From Fig. 8 we can see the crossing points with other curves, that specify the domains of metastability of the system.



Figure 5: The system $(6Z, e)$. Charges are located at the vertices of the octahedron. The electron is at point **e**.

The domains of metastability are given in the following way: for charges $Z \in (0.2879, 0.3350)$ the system is metastable with three decay channels

$$\begin{aligned} (6Z, e) &\rightarrow (3Z, e) + Z + Z + Z \\ (6Z, e) &\rightarrow (4Z, e) + Z + Z \\ (6Z, e) &\rightarrow (5Z, e) + Z \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

For $Z \in (0.2358, 0.2879)$ the system is metastable with two decay channel

$$\begin{aligned} (6Z, e) &\rightarrow (4Z, e) + Z + Z \\ (6Z, e) &\rightarrow (5Z, e) + Z \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

For $Z \in (0.2318, 0.2358)$ the system is metastable with the single decay channel

$$(6Z, e) \rightarrow (5Z, e) + Z \ , \tag{12}$$

and, finally, for $Z < 0.2318$ the system is stable.

The behavior of the energy as a function of the charge close to critical charge Z_{cr}, is given by the following Puiseux expansion

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z) \ = \ & -0.2749 - 0.0763(Z_{cr} - Z) - 3.7767(Z_{cr} - Z)^{3/2} \\ & + \ 22.813(Z_{cr} - Z)^2 - 54.9039(Z_{cr} - Z)^{5/2} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where the critical point is

$$Z_{cr}^{(6Z,e)} \ = \ 0.335 \ . \tag{14}$$

The result of the fit is based on data from the domain $0.32 \leq Z \leq 0.33$ (20 points). This behavior indicates that the critical point might be a square-root branch point with exponent 3/2.

Near the critical point $Z = 0$ the behavior of the energy ($0 \leq Z \leq 0.15$ with 15 points) is given by the Taylor expansion

$$E(Z) = -28.1767Z^2 + 184.321Z^3 - 405.044Z^4 + \ldots \tag{15}$$

Such a behavior does not indicate a singularity in the point $Z = 0$. However, the total energy can not be analytically continued to $\mathrm{Re}Z < 0$.

The comparison between the fits of the energy (13) and (15) near critical charges $Z = Z_{cr}$, $Z = 0$, and the data are shown in Table 3.

Finally, Fig. 6 presents curves for the distances (height h, and radius of circumscribed circle R) as functions of the charge Z for the geometrical configuration of the system (octahedron).

From Fig. 6 we see that the optimal geometrical configuration is an octahedron, where the height h is always smaller than the radius of circumscribed circle R. This tell us that the charges are placed on a oblate spheroid with semi-axes R, see Fig.7.

DRAFT

Z	E_T	Fit
0.1000	-0.1376189	-0.13795
0.1100	-0.1549053	-0.15491
0.1200	-0.1715792	-0.17123
0.1300	-0.1874736	-0.18692
0.1400	-0.2024490	-0.20209
0.1500	-0.2163915	-0.21639
0.320	-0.2793243	-0.279328
0.322	-0.2786595	-0.278657
0.324	-0.2779993	-0.277997
0.326	-0.2773488	-0.277350
0.328	-0.2767147	-0.276718
0.330	-0.2761066	-0.276108

Table 3: The total energy of $(6Z, e)$, obtained from (9), compared with the result of the fit (13) (for $0.320 \leq Z \leq 0.330$) and (15) (for $0 \leq Z \leq 0.15$).



Figure 6: Equilibrium geometrical configuration: the height $2h$ is the distance between anti-polar charges (solid line) and radius R of circumscribed circle for charges fixed on vertexes of the square (dashed line), all as function of the charge Z.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we calculated for the first time the critical charges for two molecular systems: $(5Z, e)$ and $(6Z, e)$. For all those systems the total energy and equilibrium distances vs. Z are smooth curves without any indication of charge quantization. Moreover the optimal geometric configuration seems to be always the maximally symmetric: a triangular dipyramid for $(5Z, e)$ and

DRAFT



Figure 7: Equilibrium geometrical configuration for system $(6Z, e)$, $Z < Z_{cr}$: Charges are situated on the surface of an oblate spheroid of semi-axes R and h.



Figure 8: The total energy of systems (Z, e) (dot-dashed line), $(3Z, e)$ (dotted line), $(4Z, e)$ (dashed line), $(5Z, e)$ (long-dashed) and $(6Z, e)$ (solid line) as functions of the charge Z, all for optimal geometrical configuration. The dotted curve ends at $Z = Z_{cr}^{(3Z,e)} = 0.9537$. The dashed curve ends at $Z = Z_{cr}^{(4Z,e)} = 0.736$. The long-dashed curve ends at $Z = Z_{cr}^{(5Z,e)} = 0.350$. The solid curve ends at $Z = Z_{cr}^{(6Z,e)} = 0.335$.

an octahedron for $(6Z, e)$.

It is important to mention that the behavior near the critical charge of the total energy as a function of the charge, $E(Z)$, indicates a square-root branch point with exponent 3/2. This agrees with the previous results found for various atomic and molecular systems [2].

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to A. V. Turbiner, A. Alijah and W. Bietenholz for their valuable suggestions and useful comments, and for reading the manuscript. He further thanks J. C. López Vieyra for his assistance with computer calculations and interest in the present work. This work was supported in part by CONACyT grants **58962-F**, **171494** and **202139** (Mexico), and

DRAFT

by the Computer Center of the Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne (France).

References

[1] A.V. Turbiner and J.C. Lopez Vieyra, *Phys. Rep.* **424**, 309 (2006)

[2] A. V. Turbiner and H. Medel Cobaxin, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **112**, 2411 (2012)

[3] J. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **D12**, 10 (1975)

[4] A. Herdegen, *Act. Phys. Pol.* **B14**, 12 885 (1983)

[5] B. Simon, *J. Funct. Anal.* **25**, 338 (1977).

[6] T. K. Rebane, *Sov. Phys. JEPT* **71**, 1055 (1995)

[7] Q. Shi and S. Kais, *Mol. Phys.* **98**, 1485 (2000)

[8] A.V. Turbiner, *Usp. Fiz. Nauk.* **144**, 35 (1984)
Sov. Phys. – Uspekhi **27**, 668 (1984) (English Translation)

[9] A.V. Turbiner, *Yad. Fiz.* **46**, 204 (1987)
Sov. Journ. of Nucl. Phys. **46**, 125 (1987) (English Translation)

[10] A.V. Turbiner and J.C. Lopez Vieyra, *Phys. Rev.* **A66**, 023409 (2002)

[11] L. Pauling, *J. Chem. Phys.* **56**, 1 (1933)
L. Pauling and E. B. Wilson, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics with Applications to Chemistry*, Dover Publications (1985)

DRAFT